# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
AN EC			gen	The document clearly shows that TG2 have carried out a great deal of work to research and compile it. However we have a number of detailed comments and some major concerns. The first concern regards the exclusion of barriers in certain areas and the second the lack of cost/benefit analysis and the impact of increased accessibility on industry, as requested by M/473. Thirdly, as the activity aims to cut across other areas/standards and ensure that they consider accessibility, it is essential to have an effective mechanism for this. The document also feels slightly 'out of date' in terms of technology as recent technology developments are not reflected in the report. The following items are missing: -mobile or tablet devices, either as an entity or as a means by which consumers access the various technologies discussed. - epayments, mpayments, NFC/RFID (new ways of paying such as Apple pay/ Samsung Pay and Paypal) - Internet of things; connected homes; smart objects - Wearable devices and new interfaces - Mhealth / ehealth applications		

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)			
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted			
				Our concerns are elaborated in the detailed comments below. Also a number of typographical errors are corrected at the end of these comments. Finally, an Executive Summary would be useful as it is a long and detailed report.					
AN EC	Title page	title	ed	The title does not reflect the review work included in the document.	Analysis of main areas of Standardisation <b>to review</b> & Prioritisation of work in				
AN EC	Contents	P2	ed	A number of sections are not listed on the	3 Status of this document				
EC				Contents page and we suggest changes to 3 of the section headings. Since section 2	7.2.1 Questionnaire Design				
				covers objectives, section 3 should not cover	7.2.10 <b>Responses</b> to the questionnaire				
				the purpose of the document.	8 Findings 23				
					8.1 Consultation process findings 23				
								8.2 Findings from the research literature review 35	
						8.2.1.1 The relationship between Accessibility and Safety 35			
					8.2.1.2 The multifaceted-complicated area of mobility 36				
					8.2.1.3 The importance of attitudinal barriers 36				

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					8.4 Status of standardisation areas and requests (Mandates) 48	
					9.3 Alignment with standardization areas and mandates 53	
AN EC	Key Terms and abbreviatio ns	P4 Mandate entry, para 1	te	From 2015 standardisation mandates are to be called standardisation requests.	European policies and legislation. The term 'mandate' is to be called a 'request'.	
AN EC	1 Backgroun d	Paras 4 & 5	ed	These paragraphs explain the objectives of the report. We suggest they are transferred to <b>2 Scope and objectives,</b> as paragraphs 2 and 3	2 Scope and objectives The objective of Mandate M/473 The Mandate defines Deliverable D1.2 as This deliverable shall be dedicated to	
					Design for All is design for human diversity	
AN EC	1 Backgroun d	Para 5 of the presented version, p5 and repeated at +3 Purpose	te	The report undertakes to prioritise the standardisation areas that will be the most beneficial to concentrate on, considering cost/benefit aspects, impact on industry, consumers and other issues. The focus of the report is on consumers and their experience of barriers. However the cost/benefit aspects of increasing	text at least in sections 7.3, 8.2, 9.4	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
		of this report, para 3, p7		accessibility and its impact on industry do not appear to have been addressed in any detail in the report. (Impact is dealt with generally in section 8.3.1). Further research would be required to address these issues.		
AN EC	2 Scope and objectives	Para 3 in the presented version, p5	te	People with the most severe disabilities eg the inability to move all parts of their body or extensive cognitive impairments are unlikely to be able to live independently nor to participate fully in all aspects of life.	Accessibility enables <b>almost all</b> people with disabilities	
AN EC	2 Scope and objectives	Para 4 in the presented version, p6	te	The majority of standards cover mainstream products, goods and services as opposed to standards covering assistive systems. It is important that standards developers and manufacturers and providers of these systems recognise that their output is addressed by this mandate and that it does not apply only to assistive systems designed specifically for people with disabilities and older people.	products, goods and services that can	
AN EC	2 Scope and objectives	Para 5 in the presented version, bullet point (1)	te	As above	(1) The design of <b>mainstream</b> products, goods and services that are readily	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04	Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
AN EC	2 Scope and objectives	Para 6 in the presented version, line 3	te	This point applies to both mainstream and assistive systems	whole design and development cycle of <b>all</b> products, goods and services.	
AN EC	2 Scope and objectives	Para 7 in the presented version, line 1	te	Dealing with barriers is one of the ways of improving accessibility so the term 'overall goal' is not appropriate here.	-	
AN EC	3 Purpose of this document	heading	te	Objectives have already been addressed in Scope and objectives so it is not appropriate to have the heading Purpose of this document.	3 <b>Status</b> of this document	
AN EC	3 Purpose of this document as presented	Para 1	ed	The first paragraph repeats the information already provided under 1 Background and is unnecessary here.	Delete para 1	
AN EC	3 Purpose of this document	Paras 2 & 3	ed	The content of the text is clearer if the deliverable numbers are included in this section. It is also clearer to point out that the current document is the first of two deliverables.		
AN	3 Purpose	Para 2	te	See our concern voiced above, under 1	Further research would require new	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
EC	of this document			Background, that cost/benefit and impact on industry have not been sufficiently addressed in this report.		
AN EC	3 Purpose of this document	Para 4	te	It would be helpful to have a more detailed overview of the annexes here and their value to the report		
AN EC	5 Constraints 6 Limitations	Entire content	ed	The points covered in these two short sections are covered in 7.1 and 7.2.8, since they are methodology points.	Delete sections 5 and 6.	
AN EC	7.1 Overview	Entire content	te	Section 7.1 is difficult to follow with its cycles, strategies, activities etc. It would be helpful her to also present the information diagrammatically. This would also help to clarify later sections of the report eg 7.3.4.		
AN EC	7.2.1 Preparation of & Questionna ire Design	heading	ed	Questionnaire Design is a sufficient title for this section.	7.2.1 Questionnaire Design	
AN EC	7.2.1 Preparation of & Questionna ire Design		gen	Since the questionnaire was completed by both people with disabilities and organisations representing people with disabilities, the statements should cover both types of respondent.	respondents to describe the areas where <b>people experience</b> barriers. Para 4: It was decided at the outset that <b>the</b> main outcome would be the	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					collection of qualitative data about the barriers experienced by <b>people with disabilities.</b>	
AN EC	7.2.2 Format	Para 1, line 4	ed	Since the questionnaire was completed by both people with disabilities and organisations representing people with disabilities, the statements should cover both types of respondent.	(free form) regarding the barriers experienced. The questionnaire	
AN EC	7.2.8 Analysing the responses	Para 3	te	We question these exclusions and require a fuller explanation and justification. M/473 requires the ESOs to include accessibility following a Design for All approach in relevant standardisation initiatives. We understand this to be in <b>all</b> standardisation initiatives. M/473 has become necessary since earlier mandates in the accessibility field have not achieved widespread accessibility in standardisation.	excluded from this report, or justify	
				We are pleased that barriers in on-line services are covered in this report, despite the existence of M/376, and that packaging barriers are covered in this report despite the existence of ISO 17480:2015. However we question the lack of consistency in this report's approach to excluded barriers.		
AN	7.2.8	Para 3,	te	Where the report lists 'Recreation', Annex C	Please explain why recreation barriers	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
EC	Analysing the responses	line 2		is referred to. It is unclear why recreation barriers are excluded after referring to Annex C.	have been excluded.	
AN EC	7.2.8 Analysing the responses	Para 3, line 4	te	Mandate M/420 provides accessibility requirements only for public procurement in the built environment. Also it provides design requirements in the built environment. However products, goods and services within all buildings are not covered in M/420 and therefore barriers arising from them should be covered in this report, since it is a deliverable of M/473.	products, goods and services in the	
AN EC	7.2.8 Analysing the responses	NOTE	te	The bracketed information above the NOTE is not included in the questionnaire as presented in Annex A, A.1. Therefore it is unclear to what this note refers.	Please clarify the purpose of this note.	
AN EC	7.2.9	Para 1	te	The first sentence is untrue! Questionnaire recipients were restricted to members of SAGA, JWG5 and TGs within M/473 and their contacts. Section 7.2.9 should start with its current final sentence.	7.2.9 Accessibility and usability of the questionnaire The format of the questionnaire was assessed to be accessible and usable for the target population. It was also designed to be simple and short.	
AN EC	7.2.10 Responden ts to the	heading	ed	The content of this section is the responses received and not about the individual and	7.2.10 <b>Responses to the</b> questionnaire	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
	questionnai re			organisational respondents.		
AN EC	7.2.10 Responden ts to the questionnai re	Entire content	ed	The information in 7.2.10 is repeated in section 8.1. The content of these two sections should be combined at 8.1. The bar charts in 7.2.10 would be helpful in 8.1. Section 8.1 is a more appropriate place for this data, since 8.1 is a findings section, whereas 7.2.10 is a methodology section.		
AN EC						
AN EC	7.2.10 Responden ts to the questionnai re	Informati on relating to Table 7, para 2	ed	The report states that findings about barriers is in 9.1. The barriers information is in 8.1	Correct 9.1 to <b>8.1</b>	
AN EC	7.3.3 Types of literature	Para 2, 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	ed	'Other miscellaneous documents' should be a separate bullet point.	o other miscellaneous documents	
AN EC	7.3.6 Criteria for prioritizatio n			This refers to the prioritisation tool "Exclusion Calculator" to estimate the number of people affected by a particular barrier, or aspect of a barrier. How does this take account of the range of impairments people may have and the impact of a barrier on different groups? For instance, there are more people with	Explain how criteria for prioritization takes into account of the range of impairments people may have and the impact of a barrier on different groups.	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

## Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				physical impairments than with speech difficulties so a barrier impacting on the former group would score higher than one impacting on the second group despite the possible severity of the impact of the barrier on this group.		
AN EC	8.1 Consultatio n process findings	Entire content	ed	Include the bar charts from 7.2.10 here. See our comments above re. 7.2.10, which should otherwise be deleted since it is repetitive.		
AN EC	8.1 Consultatio n process findings	P 24	te	Each line reporting the proportion of people reporting an impairment should include the words 'difficulty with'.		
AN EC	8.1.1	Bullet point 6	te	Difficulties are briefly explained in the other bullet points. What were the barriers with food, medication and electronic devices?	Briefly mention the barriers experienced with food, medication and electronic devices.	
AN EC	8.1.6	At end of bullet points	te	Include a statement about the overlap of comments re. 'Communicatuions, information and signalling' and 'Online services' and delete repetitive information eg bullet points 1, 2 and 3 in the example comments of section 8.1.6		
AN	8.1.6		ed	This section is rather long, it may need to be	Consider create subsections in section	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
EC				broken into smaller chunks.	8.1.6.	
AN EC	8.2.3 Most frequently cited barriers	Para 1	ed	Clarify the first sentence.	More than 200 documents were examined <b>as part of the research for this report.</b> Of these	
AN EC	8.2.3 Most frequently	3 <sup>rd</sup>	te	Clarify whether the 200 or the 60 documents were tabulated.	Either: In the first cycle <b>all the documents</b> were tabulated	
	cited barriers	sentence			Or: In the first cycle <b>the 60</b> <b>documents</b> were tabulated	
AN EC	8.2.4 Instruction s for use and labelling	Paras 1, lines 4 & 5, & para 2, line 2	ed	Please check the references – 8.2.8 is about online services, 8.2.7 about self-service machines and 8.2.9 does not exist.	Check references	
AN EC	8.2.6 Electronic household products	Para 1, line 3	ed	Ricability changed its name back to RICA a couple of years ago.	features. Guides from <b>RICA</b> advise on	
AN EC	8.3.1 impact and 9.4 Business case	8.3.1 para 1; 9.4 para 1	te	Contradictory percentages of disabled people in the EU are stated in these two sections. In 8.3.1 15% or 9% and in 9.4 more than 10%.	Correct the percentage of disabled people in the EU.	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
AN EC	8.4 Status of standardis ation areas and requests	Paras 2, 3 and 4	ed	Please check the references to annexes in Part 2 in these paragraphs, for example Annexes I and J do not exist.		
AN EC	9.1 Environme ntal factors and context of use			We welcome the highlighting of this. Most products are not used in isolation but in specific environments. Examples include lighting conditions in the environment in which the product or service is accessed. For example a self-service machine may be accessible when sited in good lighting conditions but impossible for some people to use where lighting is poor. It is important that standards address requirements for the identified possible areas of use of the product or service which is the subject of the standard.		
AN EC	9.4 Business case	Para 3	te	Please provide a full reference to the Eurobarometer flash survey and state 26% of whom.	Eurobarometer flash survey (ref) 26% of	
AN EC	10 Recommen dations	Entire content	gen	Recommendations is clearly an important part of this long report, so it is important that it is easy to read. We suggest that recommended actions are more clearly identified, for example with the addition of	-	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				sub-headings.		
AN EC	10 Recommen dations	After para 5	ed	Please include a list of the 6 priority areas (as bullet points) here to aid the clarity of the recommendations.		
AN EC	10 Recommen dations	Para 11	te	The references to the work of CEN/CLC TC61 and IEC TC59 WG11 require correction.	In relation to electronic household equipment products. <b>CEN/CLC TC</b> <b>61 WG4</b> deals with safety aspects IEC TC59 <b>WG11</b> workplan is to define performance requirements <b>to improve</b> <b>the accessibility of</b> household products. In addition <b>WG11</b> has developed a new <b>Technical</b> <b>Specification, IEC TS 62835</b> : Electric toasters	
AN EC	10 Recommen dations	Para 11	te	IEC TC59 WG11 was specifically set up to improve the accessibility of household product performance standards. We question whether CEN/CLC TC59X has a similar remit.	•	
AN EC	10 Recommen dations	Para 15, 1 <sup>st</sup> bullet point	te	The reported finding that physical barriers have been mentioned by many participants in the survey again suggests that the built environment should not have been excluded by TG2.	resulting from built environment	
AN EC	M/473	content	Main	There are a number of typographical errors in	See below:	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
	Deliverable 1.2 Part 1		ly ed, som e te	the report and a few references to other sections that are wrong. They follow with simple page and line references.		
AN EC		P 12, line 3	ed		activities are described and commented <b>on</b> . Each activity	
AN EC		P 12, line 6	ed		what the difficulties presented <b>were</b> and how these were overcome.	
AN EC		P 13, line 6	ed		The questionnaires were circulated together with an introduction <b>letter</b> in all 5 languages	
AN EC		P 13, line 9	ed		All members of JWG5 within Mandate <b>M/473</b>	
AN EC		P 13, line 10	ed		All members of <b>TGs</b> within Mandate <b>M/473</b>	
AN EC		P 13, line 12	ed		relevant persons in <b>their</b> organisation and	
AN EC		P 14, line 11	ed		For the second cycle experts of TG2 and <b>then</b>	
AN EC		P 15, lines 1 & 2	ed		The questionnaire was made <b>available</b> <b>online</b> initiallypotential respondents <b>of</b> the questionnaire	
AN EC		P 15, line	ed		The format of the questionnaire was	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
		6			assessed accessible <b>and usable</b> for the target	
AN EC		P17, 4 lines from end	ed		highest percentage of responses received from <b>those</b> 41-60 years old	
AN EC		P 17, last line	te		groups of people they represent are given in <b>8.1.</b>	
AN EC		P 18, 10 lines from end	ed		information <b>regarding</b> impact were retained.	
AN EC		P 18, 8 lines from end	ed		these being dealt with elsewhere (8.4).	
AN EC		P 18, 2 lines from end	ed		developed countries (especially Australia, Canada, USA, New Zealand 	
AN EC		P 19, line 11/12	ed		as well as to cover <b>a</b> wide range.	
AN EC		P 20, line 7	ed		where barriers are <b>faced.</b>	
AN EC		P 20, line 14	ed		documents that fully <b>corresponded</b> to the 13	
AN EC		P 23, 6	ed		responses from 889 persons. The	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
		lines from end			respondents	
AN EC		P 24, 2 lines above table at end	ed		reported from within each of the <b>4</b> areas (physical, sensory, cognitive, allergy <b>)</b> by order	
AN EC		P 25, 3 lines below the table	te		discussed in <b>the</b> Analysis Section (Section <b>9</b> ).	
AN EC		P 28, line 7	ed		The most important <b>area</b> is detail packaging	
AN EC		P 28, 6 lines from the end	ed		this often makes their operation <b>impossible for</b> people with vision impairment.	
AN EC		P 29, line 5	ed		microwave <b>ovens,</b> cell phones etc. Accessibility <b>of h</b> ousehold appliances	
AN EC		P 29, 10 lines from the end	ed		which means that many <b>lose</b> control over	
AN EC		P 30, line 11	ed		electronic contact forms. " <b>Normal"</b> old people	
AN		P 30, line	ed		There ought to be synthetic <b>speech</b>	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
EC		19			on all ATMs.	
AN EC		P 30, line 22	ed		<i> sale devices: for independent</i> and safer	
AN EC		P 30, 8 lines from end	ed		<i> not user friendly for people</i> <b>with</b> <i>vision impairments</i>	
AN EC		P 31, line 13			<i> payment transactions.</i> NFC <b>should</b> be equipped with <i></i>	
AN EC		P 31, line 16	ed		Online and <b>webservices</b> – this is the	
AN EC		P 31, line 10 from the end	ed		<i> if one cannot inspect <b>one's</b> account statement?</i>	
AN EC		P 31, line 2 from the end	ed		<i> sighted people that public <b>websites</b> are accessible</i>	
AN EC		P 32, line 3	ed		<i> no efficient and <b>continuous</b> internet coverage.</i>	
AN EC		P 32, line 14	ed		Text font should be <b>enlarged</b> without needing	
AN EC		P 33, line 12 from the end	ed		of two senses) and <b>of</b> installation	
AN EC		P 34, line 6	ed		favourable for all people due <b>to</b>	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					increased	
AN EC		P 34, line 9	ed		little <b>contrast</b> and often very small	
AN EC		P 34, line 9 from the end	ed		Raising awareness <b>of</b> existing accessibility	
AN EC		P 34, line 2 from the end	ed		essential relief if it <b>was</b> decided	
AN EC		P 35, line 12 from the end	ed		Certainly at least three themes <b>re-</b> occur frequently in	
AN EC		P 35, line 5 from the end	ed		Household products, <b>packaging,</b> <b>instructions</b> for use and labelling	
AN EC		P 38, line 8 from the end	ed		travel information, so that this <b>was</b> also frequently	
AN EC		P 39, line 9 from the end	ed		applicable to many domains, <b>are</b> in the area of	
AN EC		P 39, last line	ed		indeed they are <b>replete</b> with references	
AN EC		P 40, line 10	ed		in other formats. Technology <b>offers</b> opportunities	
AN		P 41, line	ed		they are selling to ${f a}$ population that	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Date: 2015-05-04 Document M/473 del 1.2: Part 1

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
EC		16			values	
AN EC		P 42, line 2	ed		packaging problems. <b>A</b> study by Bell et	
AN EC		P 42, line 8	ed		"Which? magazine" in the UK that carried	
AN EC		P 42, line 10	ed		by complicated packaging. "The problems	
AN EC		P 43, line 2	ed		"The Overlooked Consumers" <b>noted</b> that	
AN EC		P 43, line 9 from the end	ed		that information can be perceived in more <b>than</b> one way	
AN EC		P 43, 8.2.7 heading	ed		8.2.7 Self service <b>Machines</b>	
AN EC		P 44, line 4	ed		It is almost <b>impossible not</b> to interact	
AN EC		P 44, line 8			through the <b>airport weighing</b> and tagging	
AN EC		P 44, line 15			the use <b>of</b> automatic solutions	
AN EC		P 45, line 1	ed		Consumers with accessibility and usability requirements would like	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
AN EC		P 45, line 8	ed		into mobile devices, <b>and there</b> are also	
AN EC		P 45, line 13			also present problems, <b>and</b> machines 	
AN EC		P 47, line 5, 6	ed		produced by the <b>O</b> ffice for Disability and Pensions, "UK Guidance on communication formats."[ref] <b>Also</b> the EU produced	
AN EC		P 47, line 12	ed		the needs that have to be address <b>ed</b> . In addition, the work of the	
AN EC		P 48, line 12 from end			open packaging and malnutrition.	
AN EC		P 50, line 11 from end	ed		only part of the <b>problem. There</b> will be users	
AN EC		P 51, line 2	ed		Methodology Section ( Section 7), the two	
AN EC		P 52, line 11, 12	te		See Section <b>8</b> on Findings, section <b>7</b> , Table <b>6</b> ), there were comments was a problem <b>because:</b>	
AN EC		P52, 3, 4 from end	ed		on the rise in Europe <b>and</b> has led rules in force <b>in</b> late 2014 will require 	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2015-05-04 Document **M/473 del 1.2: Part 1** 

ANEC comments (ANEC-DFA-2015-G-036)

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
AN EC		P55, last line	ed		capability to plan, to compare prices	
AN EC		P56, line 10	ed		have expected to. In a <b>small</b> focus group	
AN EC		P56, line 6 from end	te		to be marshalled, see Section <b>8.2.5</b> . However, still	
AN EC		P58, line 11	ed		take measures for improvement according <b>to</b> Deliverable 1.1.	
AN EC		P59, line 16	ed		spite of the number of comments about <b>inaccessibility.</b> However, the group	
AN EC		P 60, line 4	ed		identified above will be a need to <b>complete</b> in the following areas:	

1 MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial